Contractor Performance Assessment (CPA)

It is one of the requirement of all Australian Government funded projects to go through Annual Contractor Performance Assessment (CPA). It is designed to assess how well contractors are delivering the projects required in the agreements. The CPAs are internal documents for HEPF and the Australian High Commission. Data from CPA are used to inform future procurement evaluations, including tender evaluations and contractor selection decision making process.

CPA should be completed by the Infrastructure Project Managers (IPM) and be based on the most recent 12 month period where the contractor performance information is available. A proportional approach to completing the CPA should be adopted depending on the size and risk of the contract. Text is required to provide evidence to support the ratings and identify any areas for improvement. As CPAs inform future funding decisions, CMS and contractors must be given an opportunity to review the assessment. CMS and contractors should be provided with a minimum 10 working days to endorse the ratings. CPAs must be approved by DTL or Team Leader. For further information — contact M&E section (Rosemary.Hobart-Karo@hepf.net).

Contract Summary

Contractor Name	P.R.A. Electricals Pty Ltd.		
Contract Description	Electrical upgrades at UPNG Wa	nigani Campus, University of Pa	apua New Guinea
Contract Type	Construction	Contract No.	E034/03
Contract Start Date	16/03/2016	Contract Completion Date	30/07/2016
Reporting Period Start Date	16/03/2016	Reporting Period End Date	30/07/2016
Total contract value (AUD)	AUD895,520.97	Province(s)	NCD
CPA assessed by	Wasantha Kumara	CPA approved by	TL - Chris Manu
Signature	ach	Signature	
	12/12/2016		

HEPF - Rated Performance Criteria - Rate each statement using the following six point scale as a guide

Satisfa	actory	Less th	an Satisfactory
6	Very good; satisfies criteria in all or almost all areas	3	Less than adequate; on balance does not satisfy criteria but does not fail in any major area
5	Good; satisfies criteria in most areas	. 2	Poor; does not satisfy criteria in major areas
4	Adequate; on balance satisfies criteria; does not fail in any major area	1	Very poor; does not satisfy criteria in major areas

1. Management and Administrative Support – Does the Contractor have sufficient resources and support functions to deliver the projects?

	1	2	3	4	5	6
A. Adequacy of preparations and logistics support						⊠
B. Compliance with relevant contract terms						
C. Contract Administration						⊠
D. Monitoring, Evaluation and Reporting system						⊠
Overall rating						×

Assessment	(no more than	300 words)
------------	---------------	------------

Contractor provided excellent logistical support and complied with relevant	contract ter	ms. Co	ntract a	dministi	ration a	nd
reporting was very good.				u	i ution a	IIu
2. Performance of Personnel – <i>Does the Contractor have enough staj</i> project?	f with skills	and e	xperien	ice to d	leliver i	the
	1	2	3	4	5	
A. Contractor met all requirements of contract in employing personnel						
B. Competence						
C. Efficiency						
D. Responsiveness						<u> </u>
E. Stakeholder engagement / Community Engagement						
Overall rating						D
To qualify the ratings given, it is mandatory to provide a text (or evidence) to identified areas of improvement and actions required. Contractor employed competent personnel to carry out the job and the wor Contractor responded to the university unrest positively and despite the dist	k was comple	eted eff	iciently	and effe	ectively	
identified areas of improvement and actions required.	k was comple	eted eff	iciently	and effe	ectively	
To qualify the ratings given, it is mandatory to provide a text (or evidence) to identified areas of improvement and actions required. Contractor employed competent personnel to carry out the job and the wor Contractor responded to the university unrest positively and despite the dist	k was comple	eted eff	iciently	and effe	ectively	
To qualify the ratings given, it is mandatory to provide a text (or evidence) to identified areas of improvement and actions required. Contractor employed competent personnel to carry out the job and the wor Contractor responded to the university unrest positively and despite the dist complete all work within time and with excellent quality.	k was complourbances an	eted eff d disrup	iciently tions, t	and effe	ectively. naged to	
To qualify the ratings given, it is mandatory to provide a text (or evidence) to identified areas of improvement and actions required. Contractor employed competent personnel to carry out the job and the wor Contractor responded to the university unrest positively and despite the dist	k was compl urbances an	eted eff d disrup	iciently otions, the	and effe hey mar	ectively. naged to)
To qualify the ratings given, it is mandatory to provide a text (or evidence) to identified areas of improvement and actions required. Contractor employed competent personnel to carry out the job and the worn Contractor responded to the university unrest positively and despite the dist complete all work within time and with excellent quality. 3. Project deliverable outcomes – How well does Contractor perform in the contractor performs in the contractor	k was compl urbances an	eted eff d disrup	iciently tions, t	and effe	ectively. naged to)
To qualify the ratings given, it is mandatory to provide a text (or evidence) to identified areas of improvement and actions required. Contractor employed competent personnel to carry out the job and the wor Contractor responded to the university unrest positively and despite the dist complete all work within time and with excellent quality. Project deliverable outcomes — How well does Contractor perform in the contractor performs in th	k was compl urbances an	eted eff d disrup	iciently otions, the	and effe hey mar	ectively. naged to	6
To qualify the ratings given, it is mandatory to provide a text (or evidence) to identified areas of improvement and actions required. Contractor employed competent personnel to carry out the job and the wor Contractor responded to the university unrest positively and despite the dist complete all work within time and with excellent quality.	k was comple urbances an	eted eff d disrup g the p	roject n	and effe hey mar milestor	nes?	€
To qualify the ratings given, it is mandatory to provide a text (or evidence) to identified areas of improvement and actions required. Contractor employed competent personnel to carry out the job and the worn Contractor responded to the university unrest positively and despite the dist complete all work within time and with excellent quality. Project deliverable outcomes – How well does Contractor perform in the Contractor perfo	k was compleurbances an	g the p	roject n	and effe hey mar milestor	nes?	€⊠
To qualify the ratings given, it is mandatory to provide a text (or evidence) to identified areas of improvement and actions required. Contractor employed competent personnel to carry out the job and the wor Contractor responded to the university unrest positively and despite the dist complete all work within time and with excellent quality. A. Project deliverable outcomes — How well does Contractor perform in the contract of the project milestones are delivered on time, ensuring deliverables are of high quality, accurate and meet the requirements in the contract B. Effectiveness — Project completed on budget and maximising value for money C. Compliance to Contract terms and conditions D. Promotes sustainability and where applicable, is prepared for transition	n delivering	g the po	roject r	and effe hey mar	nes?	
To qualify the ratings given, it is mandatory to provide a text (or evidence) to identified areas of improvement and actions required. Contractor employed competent personnel to carry out the job and the wor Contractor responded to the university unrest positively and despite the dist complete all work within time and with excellent quality. A. Project deliverable outcomes — How well does Contractor perform in the Contractor perform in the Contractor perform in the Contract perform in the Contract perform in the Contract perform in the Contract performs in the Co	in delivering	g the p	roject r	and effe hey mar	nes? 5	
To qualify the ratings given, it is mandatory to provide a text (or evidence) to identified areas of improvement and actions required. Contractor employed competent personnel to carry out the job and the wor Contractor responded to the university unrest positively and despite the dist complete all work within time and with excellent quality. A. Project deliverable outcomes — How well does Contractor perform in the Contractor perform in the Contractor perform in the Contract performance perfo	in delivering	g the p	roject r	and effe hey mar	nes?	

	1	2	3	4	5	- 6
A. Communicate effectively with stakeholders (including private sector beneficiaries, communities as appropriate), works collaboratively, buile effective relationships and ensures HEPF is consulted on key developmemerging issues	ls –					Σ
 B. Demonstrate appropriate flexibility and responsiveness to HEPF requaddresses problems/issues openly and constructively 	ests and					×
Overall rating						×
Contractor communicated very effectively with all stakeholders including the contractor had to face due to the student unrest in University premises disruption and additional claims. Contractor responded to all requests were resolved through open discussion.	g the UPNG and contractor con from HEPF and (I CMS. D tinued w CMS with	espite t ith the v out any	he challowork wit	enges th thout nd the is	nat th
Contractor communicated very effectively with all stakeholders including contractor had to face due to the student unrest in University premises disruption and additional claims. Contractor responded to all requests were resolved through open discussion. Cross-cutting Issues – Does the Contractor sufficiently address	g the UPNG and contractor con from HEPF and (I CMS. D tinued w CMS with	espite t ith the v out any	he chall work wit issue ar	enges the thout and the is	nat th
Contractor communicated very effectively with all stakeholders including contractor had to face due to the student unrest in University premises disruption and additional claims. Contractor responded to all requests were resolved through open discussion. Cross-cutting Issues — Does the Contractor sufficiently address instruction (implementation)	g the UPNG and contractor conform HEPF and (conservating is	I CMS. D tinued w CMS with	espite t ith the v out any	he challowork wit	enges th thout nd the is	nat th
Contractor communicated very effectively with all stakeholders including contractor had to face due to the student unrest in University premises disruption and additional claims. Contractor responded to all requests were resolved through open discussion. Cross-cutting Issues — Does the Contractor sufficiently address instruction (implementation) Gender Equality and Gender-based Violence	g the UPNG and contractor confrom HEPF and Consorting is	I CMS. Ditinued w	espite tith the vout any	he chall work wit issue ar	enges the thout and the is	nat th
Contractor communicated very effectively with all stakeholders including contractor had to face due to the student unrest in University premises disruption and additional claims. Contractor responded to all requests were resolved through open discussion. Cross-cutting Issues — Does the Contractor sufficiently address instruction (implementation) Gender Equality and Gender-based Violence HIV and AIDS Awareness	g the UPNG and contractor confrom HEPF and Consorting is	I CMS. Ditinued with Saues du	espite tith the vout any	he challe work with issue ar	enges the thout and the issues ign o	nat th
Contractor communicated very effectively with all stakeholders including contractor had to face due to the student unrest in University premises disruption and additional claims. Contractor responded to all requests were resolved through open discussion. Cross-cutting Issues — Does the Contractor sufficiently address instruction (implementation) Gender Equality and Gender-based Violence HIV and AIDS Awareness Child Protection	g the UPNG and contractor confrom HEPF and Constructing is	I CMS. Ditinued w	espite tith the vout any	he challe work with issue ar	enges the thout and the issues of the sesign	nat th
Contractor communicated very effectively with all stakeholders including contractor had to face due to the student unrest in University premises disruption and additional claims. Contractor responded to all requests were resolved through open discussion. Cross-cutting Issues — Does the Contractor sufficiently address construction (implementation) Gender Equality and Gender-based Violence HIV and AIDS Awareness Child Protection Sustainability	g the UPNG and contractor confrom HEPF and Conss-cutting is	I CMS. Ditinued w	espite tith the vout any	he challe work with issue ar	enges the thout and the issues of the sesign	nat th
To qualify the ratings given, it is mandatory to provide a text (or evidentic identified areas of improvement and actions required. Contractor communicated very effectively with all stakeholders including contractor had to face due to the student unrest in University premises disruption and additional claims. Contractor responded to all requests were resolved through open discussion. Cross-cutting Issues – Does the Contractor sufficiently address construction (implementation) A. Gender Equality and Gender-based Violence B. HIV and AIDS Awareness C. Child Protection D. Sustainability	g the UPNG and contractor confrom HEPF and Constructing is	I CMS. Ditinued w	espite tith the vout any	he challe work with issue ar	enges the thout and the issues of the sesign	nat sssue
Contractor communicated very effectively with all stakeholders including contractor had to face due to the student unrest in University premises disruption and additional claims. Contractor responded to all requests were resolved through open discussion. 5. Cross-cutting Issues – Does the Contractor sufficiently address construction (implementation) A. Gender Equality and Gender-based Violence B. HIV and AIDS Awareness C. Child Protection	g the UPNG and contractor confrom HEPF and Constructing is	I CMS. Ditinued w	espite tith the vout any	he challe work with issue ar	enges the thout and the issues of the sesign	nat t
Contractor communicated very effectively with all stakeholders including contractor had to face due to the student unrest in University premises disruption and additional claims. Contractor responded to all requests were resolved through open discussion. Cross-cutting Issues – Does the Contractor sufficiently address construction (implementation) A. Gender Equality and Gender-based Violence B. HIV and AIDS Awareness C. Child Protection D. Sustainability E. Environment	g the UPNG and contractor confrom HEPF and Gross-cutting is	I CMS. Ditinued with Saues du	espite t ith the vout any	he challe work with issue ar	enges the chout and the issue of the chout and	nat til